## **ASSESSING ALIGNMENT OF PEDAGOGICAL EXPERIENCE AND CONFIDENCE** IN A SIMULATED TEACHING ENVIRONMENT

in balance with confidence?

# What is **sim**School?



### **Instrumentation & Participation**

### Knowledge of students

Reading and using student records to make instructional decisions

- · Pre-planning assessment and instruction to meet individual and group needs
- and assessments

Experience Level	Very low	1	Moderately low 2	Medium 3	Moderate high
Confidence Level	Very low		Moderately low	Medium	Moderatel high

**2 Self-Reported Measures Teaching Experience**: Alpha = .94 **Teaching Confidence:** Alpha = .93 (very good reliability according to DeVellis, 1991)

## simSchool Research Team

Acknowledgements: This research was supported in part by the U.S. Dept. of Education FIPSE Grant #P116B060398 (R. Christensen, Lead PI); the U.S. National Science Foundation Research in Disabilities Education NSF Grant #0726670 (T. Tyler-Wood, Lead PI); and a Gates/EDUCAUSE Next Generation Learning Challenges Grant (D. Gibson, Lead PI). For further information see http://IITTL.unt.edu (G. Knezek, Director). simSchool was established in 2003 with a PT3 grant from the U.S. Department of Education (Gibson, Halverson & Zibit, 2003).

58 pre-service teachers **25 UNT treatment** 6 HZNU treatment **26 UNT comparison** 

The outcome of this study can provide one approach to validating an increase in pre-service teacher's experience levels in order to better prepare them for the many demands of the classroom. As experience aligns with confidence Pedagogical Balance improves.

Future research: Does having more simSchool use mean having more Pedagogical Balance?

Dr. Rhonda Christensen, University of North Texas (UNT); Dr. Gerald Knezek, UNT; Dr. Tandra Tyler-Wood, UNT; Dr. David Gibson, simSchool; Susan Hopper, UNT

The treatment group gained more experience causing the Pedagogical Balance to become

### **Data Analysis**

The lowest standard deviation was in the treatment group in postconfidence which could indicate that as a group they converged on their estimates.

Ν	Mean	Std. dev.	Sig.	Effect Size		
31	2.5	.80	.00	.97		
31	3.2	.64	_			
27	2.73	.86	.006	.33		
27	3.0	.78				
31	2.8	.82	.00	.76		
31	3.3	.49	_			
27	3.03	.71	.00	.58		
27	3.44	.71	_			
corintivos of pro and post tost findings in						

Table 1. Descriptives of pre and post test findings in experience and confidence of the treatment and

> The treatment group effect size of change for both experience and **confidence** was stronger than for the control group.